

DOMINADOR SANTOS

called as a witness on behalf of the Prosecution, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q (By Captain Pace) Give your name, please.

A Dominador Santos.

Q You will have to speak louder.
A Dominador Santos.

Q Where do you live?
A No. 5 Cuesta, Manila.

Q What is your occupation?
A I am the deputy chief of the Detective Bureau, Manila Police Department.

Q In the early part of February, 1945, what was your occupation?
A I was the executive officer of the North Sector, Manila Police Department.

Q Did you see anything unusual happen on the morning of February 4, 1945?
A Yes, sir.

Q Will you describe it?
A Between 9 and 10 A.M. on February 4th while I was going to the office and the police station I saw a truck on Reina Regente Street, near Soler, stop in front of a building. Six Japanese soldiers left the truck and entered the building. Being suspicious that something may happen, I stopped and make observation for about 20 minutes. There was a sudden explosion and a fire. I did not proceed to my office anymore and I returned home.

Q I show you Prosecution's Exhibit No. 193 for identification and ask you if you can tell what that is?
A This is the place (indicating).

Q What is the whole paper?
A This is a map, sir.

Q Are you familiar with the area which that represents?
A I am familiar with the area, sir.

Q Does that accurately represent that area?
A Yes, sir.

CAPTAIN PACE: I offer it in evidence.

GENERAL REYNOLDS: There being no objection, it is accepted in evidence.

(Prosecution Exhibit No. 193 for identification was received in evidence)

Q (By Captain Pace) Will you point out on there the Singer Building about which you are talking?
A Right there, sir (indicating).

CAPTAIN PACE: Let the record show that the witness is indicating the letter "A".

Q (By Captain Pace) How long after the Japanese went into the Singer Building did you see and hear the explosion?
A About 20 minutes, sir, I heard the explosion.

Q Had the Japs left?

A Before the explosion the Japanese left.

Q What happened after the explosion?

A There was a fire, sir.

Q Did you return to that building after that?

A I was already -- The following day, Monday, February 5th.

Q What was the condition of that building and the other buildings in that block?

A They were all burned.

Q I show you Prosecution's Exhibit No. 194 for identification and ask you to tell me what each of these four pages is.

A This is a picture of the building behind the Singer Building.

Q It is a rear view of the Singer Building?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is that the way it looked after the fire?

A After the fire this is the way it looked.

Q Look at the next picture. What is that?

A This shows the picture of the Co Poe Building.

Q Is that the way it looked after the fire?

A Yes, sir.

Q Look at page 3.

A This is the picture of the Singer Building as it appears after some remodeling.

Q What remodeling has been done that shows in that picture that was not present after the fire?

A The roof and these windows, sir. They were not in that position when the fire ruined the place.

Q Look at page 4.

A This is the remodeled picture, also.

CAPTAIN PACE: I offer Exhibit 194 in evidence, sir.

GENERAL REYNOLDS: There being no objection, this is accepted in evidence.

(Four photographs of Singer Building and block were received in evidence and marked Prosecution Exhibit No. 194).

Q (By Captain Pace) Was there any military fire that landed in that area at the time you saw the Japanese carrying something into the Singer Building?

A There was no artillery fire, sir.

CAPTAIN PACE: You may inquire.

CAPTAIN REEL: Could I have that last question read back, please?

(Question read)

CAPTAIN REEL: And the answer.

(Answer read)

CAPTAIN REEL: I will ask that that be stricken, sir. There was no testimony by this witness that he saw the Japanese carry anything into the building. It is a deliberately-framed question. We object to it and we ask that the question and answer be stricken.

CAPTAIN PACE: If Counsel were right I will agree with him. To make certain I will be sure to get something in the record at this time which I thought was already there.

GENERAL REYNOLDS: There seems to be agreement that the question and answer be stricken.

Q (By Captain Pace) What did the Japs do when they drove up in front of the Singer Building in a truck?

A They were bringing dynamites.

Q Where did they bring the dynamite?

A They took the dynamites inside the building.

Q And did they come out then?

A They came out.

Q Did they bring the dynamite out with them?

A They did not bring anything when they went out.

Q Were any artillery projectiles landing in that vicinity at the time you saw the Japanese do this?

A There was not.

CAPTAIN PACE: You may cross-examine.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q (By Captain Reel) Had there been artillery fire during that day?

A I beg your pardon?

(Question read)

A There was no artillery fire, sir.

Q (By Captain Reel) No artillery fire in the area in the vicinity of the Singer Building shown as "A" on this plan, Exhibit 193, on the 4th day of February, 1945? Is that your answer?

A Yes, sir. That is no lie. There was no artillery fire.

Q Were there some airplanes flying above the area?

A I did not observe airplanes flying during that time.

Q I ~~show~~ you the second picture of Prosecution's set of pictures on Exhibit 194, and ask you if this is not the damaged fuselage of an airplane in the lower lefthand corner.

A That is not.

Q Answer loud so he can hear.

A It seems to me that that is not an airplane fuselage.

Q And what do you think it is?

A That might be some -- some military -- military objects that are being left by the Japanese.

GENERAL REYNOLDS: Will you point out to the Commission that which you refer to?

CAPTAIN REEL: This instrument here, sir, on the second picture in the lower lefthand corner.

Q (By Captain Reel) How far away from the Japanese were you standing when you saw them go in the building?

A I was approximately at a distance of about 50 meters.

Q 50 meters. And at a distance of 50 meters, you saw how many Japanese go in?

A Six Japanese.

Q And what did they carry? What was the shape of the article they carried?
A They were carrying somewhat round objects.

Q And from 50 meters away you identified that round object being carried by six Japanese as dynamite, is that correct?
A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know what the Japanese were using the Singer Building for?
A I don't know what they are using that for, but that is the time that -- Before that time there was a Japanese sentinel in front of the building.

Q There was a Japanese sentinel in front of the building. Do you know whether they had naval stores in that building?

THE WITNESS: I beg your pardon?

(Question read.)

A I don't know.

Q (By Captain Reel) What branch of the service were these Japanese in that went in there, if you know?

A They are infantry men.

Q And how did you know 50 meters away?
A Because they were wearing leggings and puttees.

Q And because they were wearing leggings, you assumed that they were infantry men; is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q And that was the only way you identify them, because of the leggings?
A Because of the leggings and the uniform that they are wearing.

Q Leggings and the uniform. Did you see the "anchors" on the uniform?

THE WITNESS: What is that?

(Question read.)

A I see the uniform.

CAPTAIN REEL: Repeat the question.

(Question again read.)

A (Continuing) Anchors? I saw the uniform of the Japanese soldiers.

Q (By Captain Reel) Could you see any insignia of an "anchor" on that uniform?

A (No response.)

Q Do you know what an "anchor" is?
A Oh! I did not see any "anchor."

CAPTAIN REEL: No further questions.

CAPTAIN PACE: Thank you very much.

(Witness excused.)

DOCUMENT 2866

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, T. R. C. King, Major, Inf. (TD), Executive Officer, Legal Section, General Headquarters, Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers, do hereby certify that Document 2866 is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the testimony offered by DOMINADOR SANTOS in the trial of the case against Tomoyuki YAMASHITA, General, Imperial Japanese Army, before a Military Commission in Manila; and that the whole record of the case, including the transcript of all testimonies taken, as well as the affidavits admitted in evidence, are now on file with this Section.

/s/ T. R. C. King
T. R. C. King,
Major, Inf. (TD)

Witness: /s/ John R. Pritchard
Sworn to before me this 15th day of
November 1946, Tokyo, Japan.

/s/ John R. Pritchard
Capt. Inf.
Summary Court

Doc 2866

電報番號第二八六六號

Q 1432

ドミナル・サントス
ハ 懲察部ノ爲ニ證人トシテ召喚サレ。先ツ正式
ニ宣誓ヲシテ訊問ヲ受ケ左ノ如ク證言シタ。

直訴訊問

問 一「ベイス」太尉一貢万ノ姓名ヲ言ツテ下サ
イ。

答 一ドミナル・サントス

問 モット大キナ事デ。

答 一ドミナル・サントス

問 貢万ハ何處ニ住ンテキマスカ。

答 マニラ市、キユエスタア、五省地

問 貢万ノ職業ハ何デスカ。

答 私ハ「マニラ」警察部刑事課長代理テス

問 一九四五年二月ノ上旬ニハ貢万ノ職業

答 シタカ

答 私ハマニラ警察部北分署ノ行政官テシ。

問 一九四五年二月四日ノ朝ニ貢万ハ何カ

答 アツタノヲ見マシタカ。

答 ハイ。

問 ソレヲ述べテ下さい。

OPD
RETURN TO ROOM 361
何テ

2866
Doc

答 二月二日ノ午前九時カラ十時迄ノ間ニ私ハ役所
ト鑑察署ニ行ク途中ツラリニ近イツレイ
ナ・レゲンテ」何テ一臺ノトラックガ並
ツビルディングノ前ニ止マツタノヲ見マシ
タ。六八ノ日本兵ガトランクーラ降リテソ
ノ「ビルディングニハリマシタ。何カ起ル
カモ知レナイト思ツテ私ハ立止リ、約二十分
間鑑察シマシタ。突然ニ警笛ト火災ガ起リマ
シタ。私ハ最早私ノ役所ヘハ行カズニ歸宅シ
マシタ。

問 私ハ鑑別ノ爲ニ實万ニ鑑察詔法廷證書第一九
二號ヲ見セマスガ實万ハソレ何テ有ルカ言
ヘマスカ。

答 之ガ真ノ場所テス（指シ示シナガラ）

問 ソノ鑑察金額ハ何テスカ。

答 之ハ地圖テス。

問 實万ハソレニ出テキル地域ヲヨリ御存ジテス
カ。

答 私ハソノ地域ヲヨク知ツテキマス。

問 ソノ地圖ハソノ地域ヲ正確ニ説ハシテキマス
カ。

答 ハイ。

2.

Doc 2866

3.

「ベイビ」大尉、私ハソレヲ證據トシテ提出シマス。

「レイノルツ」將軍、承認ガナイソレヲ證據トシテ受程シマス。

(檢察部法廷證書第一九三號ハ鑑別ノ爲證據トシテ受理サレタ)

問 「ベイビ」大尉、寅万ガ話サレテキル「シンガ」ビルディングヲ其ノ上テ指摘シテ下サイ。

答 丁度其處デス(指シ示シテガラ)

問 「ベイビ」大尉、記録ニ證人ガ「A」ト言フ文字ヲ指シ示シテキルト鑑イテ下サイ。

問 「ベイビ」大尉、日本人達ガ「シンガ」ビルディングニ入ツテ行ツテカラ、ドレ位經ツテ寅万ハ爆發ヲ見タリ國イタリシマシタカ?

答 約二十分經ツテカラ私ハ爆發ノ音ヲ聞キマシタ。

問 日本人達ハ立去ツテキマシタカ。

答 爆發ノ前ニ日本人達ハ立去リベシタ。

問 爆發後何ガ起リマシタカ。

丁度爆發後二十分間キマシタ。

Doc 2866

答 火災が起リマシタ。

問 貢万ハソノ後ニソノ建物へ戻リマシタカ。

答 私ハ既ニ。。。ソノ翌日二月五日月曜日。

問 ソノ建物及ビソノ「プロック」ノ他ノ建物ノ
状態ハドンナテシタカ。

答 ソレ等ハ全部焼ケテキマシタ。

問 私ハ貢万ニ鑑別ノ爲懲罪部法廷證臺第一九四
號ヲ見セマスガ此等四頁ノ各頁ガ何テアルカ

答 ラ私ニ言フテ頂キタイ。

答 之ハ「シンガルビルディング」ノ後ノ建物、
寫眞テス。

問 「シンガルビルディング」ノ背面圖デスカ

答 ソウテス。

問 ソレハ火災ノ後テハソソナ風ニ見エマシタカ。

答 火災ノ後、ソレハコンナ風ニ見エマシタ。

問 次ノ寫眞ヲ御覧ナサイ。ソレハ何テスカ。

答 之ハ「コ・ボ・ウ・ビルディング」ノ寫眞テス。

4.

Doc 2866

問、ソレハ火災ノ後、コンナ風ニ見エマシタカ。
答、サウデス。

問、三貢ヲ御覧ナサイ。

答、之ハ若干改修シタ後ノ「シンガ」・ビルディング
クーノ爲眞デス。

問、ソノ爲眞ハ火災ノ後テハナカツタモノテドンナ
改修ガホドコサレテキル事ヲ示シテ居マスカ。

答、屋根ト此等ノ縁デス。之等ハ火災ガ其處ヲ侵襲
シタ時ニハ其ノ位置ニハアリマセンテシタ。

問、四貢ヲ御覧ナサイ。

答、之モ改修後ノ爲眞デス。

「ベイス」六時、私ハ法廷證眷第一九四號ヲ證
據トシテ提出シマス。

「レイノルヅ」將軍、異議ガナイ故之ヲ證據ト
シテ受理シマス。

「シンガ」・ビルディングト「プロック」
ノ四葉ノ爲眞ハ證據トシテ受理サレ、檢察

部法廷證眷第一九四號ト登録サレタ

問、「ベイス」六時一貴方ハ日本人達ガ何カラ「シ
ンガ」・ビルディングノ中ニ運び込ンテキル
ノヲ見タ時ニハソノ場所ニ砲弾ガ落下シテキマ
シタカ。

5.

Doc 28666

6.

答、砲火へ受ケテキマセンテシタ。

「ペイス」六時、訊問シテ下サイ。

「リール」六時、最後ノ質問ヲ讀ミ送シテ頂ケマセンカ。

(質問讀上ゲラル)

リール六時、答モ。

(答讀上ゲラル)

「リール」六時、私ハソレヲ削除スルコトヲ要求シマス。此ノ證人ハ日本人達方殲物ノ中ニ何カ持込ンダノラ見タト云フ證言ハシテ居リマセソ。ソレハ故意ニ企ンダ質問テス。我々ハソレニハ異議アリマス。ソシテソノ質問ト答ヲ削除スルコトヲ要求シマス。

「ペイス」六時、辯護人ガ正シケレバ、私ハ辯護人ニ同意シマス。念ノ爲私ハ茲テ私方既ニ記録ニ記載済ダト恩ツテキタコトヲ確カニ記載スル議ニシマス。

「レイノルツ」六時、ソノ質問ト答ヲ削除スルコトニ一致シテキル様テス。

問、(「ペイス」六時)日本人達方「シンガ」「ビルディング」ノ前ニ「トラック」テ乗リツケタ

Doc 2866

時ニ日本人達ハ何ヲシマシタカ。

答、彼等ハ「ダイナマイト」ヲ持ッテ來マシタ。

問、彼等ハ「ダイナマイト」ヲ何處へ運ビマシタカ。

答、彼等ハ「ダイナマイト」ヲ運動ノ中ニ持込ミマシタ。

問、ソレカラ彼等ハ出テ來マシタカ。

答、彼等ハ出テ來マシタ。

問、彼等ハ「ダイナマイト」ヲ持ッテ出テ來マシタカ。

答、彼等ハ出ル時ニハ何モ持ッテ來マセンデシタ。

問、貴方が日本人達方此ノコトヲスルノヲ見タ時ニ

ソノ附近ニ砲弾が落下降テキマシタカ。

答、落下降テキマセンデシタ。

「ペイス」大尉、反對訊問ヲドウゾ。

反對訊問

問、(「リトル」大尉)ソノ日ニハ砲撃アリマシタカ。

答、何テスカ。

(質問請上ゲラル)

答、砲撃ハアリマセンデシタ。

問、(「リトル」大尉)此ノ圖面、法廷證書第一九三號ノ「A」トシテ表サレテキル「シソガ」。

7.

Doc 2866

8.

ビルディング」附近ノ地區ニ於テハ一九四五年
二月四日ニハ砲擊ハナカツタノデスネ。ソレガ
貴方ノ答デスカ。

答、サウデス。屬テハアリマセン。轟炸ハアリマセ

間、ソノ地區上空ニ飛行機方飛ンテキマシタカ。

答、私ハソノ時ニ飛行機方飛ンテキルノヲ見マセン
テシタ。

間、私ハ貴方ニ空襲部法在體證第一回號ノ鷲真集
ノ二葉目ノ篇長ヲ見セマスガ下ノ方ノ左ノ隅ニ
アル之ハ飛行機ノ破損シタ部分テハナイカオ尋
ネシマス。

答、違ヒマス。

間、彼ニ同エルヨウニ大キナ聲テ答ヘナサイ。

答、私ニハソレハ飛行機ノ周聲テライヨウニ屬ヘレ
マス。

間、ソレテハ貴方ハソレハ何ダト原ヒマスカ。

答、ソレハ何カ！何カ軍事的ノ！日本軍ガオイテ行
ツタ軍用物アルカモ知レマセン。

「レイフルツ」將軍、貴方言ツテオラレルモ
ノヲ兵員ニ詰問シテクレマセシカ。

Doc 2866

9.

「リール」大時、二葉目ノ右側ニアル下ノ方ノ
左側ニアル、此處ニアル此ノ器具テス。

問、「リール」大時、貴方ハ日本人達が最初ニ入ッ
テ行クノヲ見タ時ニ、貴方ハ日本人カラドレグ
ライ離レテ立ツテキマシタカ。

答、私ハ約五十米位離レテキマシタ。

問、五十米。ソレテハ五十米ノ距離テ貴方ハ何人ノ
日本人ガスツテ行クノヲ見タノテスカ。

答、六人ノ日本人。

問、ソレテハ彼等ハ何ヲ運ビマシタカ、彼等が運ブ
ダ物ハドンナ恰好テシタカ。

答、彼等ハヤハ國イモノヲ運ンテキマシタ。

Doc 2866

10.

問、ソレテハ五十米離レテキテ貴方ハ六人ノ日本人
達ガ運ンテキタ園イモノガ一ダイナマイト
ダト分ツタノテスネ。離カテスカ。

答、ソウデス。

問、貴方ハ日本人達ガ「シンガビルディング」
ヲ何ニ使ツテキタカ知ツテキマスカ。

答、私ハ彼等ガソレヲ何ニ使ツテキタカ知リマセ
ン、併シソノ時ハソノ時ノ前ニヘ、ソノ建
物ノ前ニハ一人ノ日本軍衛兵ガキマシタ。

問、ソノ建物ノ前ニハ一人ノ日本軍衛兵ガキタ。
貴方ハ彼等ガソノ廻物ノ中ニ海軍艦品ヲ持ツ
テキタカドウカ知ツテキマスカ。

證人、何テスカ。

(質問者上ゲラル)

答、知リマセん。

問、(「リル」大駕)其處ヘ入ツテ行ツタ此等
日本兵達ハ何兵テシタカ。御存ジテシタ。

答、彼等ハ歩兵テス。

Doc 2866

問、ソレテヘ貴方へ五十米離レテキテドウシテ分
リマシタカ。

答、彼等へ巻ゲイトルト脚絆ヲ着ケテキマシタカ
ラ。

問、ソレテハ、彼等が脚絆ヲ着ケテキタノテ貴方
へ彼等ヲ歩兵ダト思ツタ、ソウテスカ。

答、ソウテス。

問、ソレテヘ脚絆ダケテ貴方へ彼等ヲ離別シタノ
テスカ。

答、脚絆ト彼等が着ケテテル側服ニ依ツテ。

問、脚絆ト側服。貴方へ側服ニ「錦」ガツイテキ
ルノヲ見マシタカ。

證人、ソレハ何テスカ。

（質問頭上ヶラル）

答、私へ側服ヲ見ル。

「リトル」大廟、質問ヲ繰返シテ下サイ。

（質問再讀）

//

Doc 28666

12.

答、（證ケテ）鑑テスカ。私ヘ日本兵達ノ側服ヲ見マシタ。

問、（リル大尉）貴方ヘソノ側服ニ「鑑」ノ徽章ガツイテキルノヲ見マシタカ。

答、（答ナシ）

問、（鑑）方何ダカ知ツテキマスカ。

答、オ、私ヘ「鑑」ナンカ見マセントシタ。
「リル」大尉此レ以上貴聞ヘアリマセン。
「ベス」大尉・・・御苦勞様テシタ。

（證人追廷許サル）

Doc 2866

證 明 書

私、聯合國軍最高指揮官總司令部法務部行政官
（戰車破壞隊）陸軍歩兵少佐 T. R. C. 「キング」
ハ證類第二八六六號が「マニラ」ニ於ケル軍事委
員ノ前テ日本帝國陸軍大將山下奉文ニ對スル訴訟
ノ証例ニ於テ「ドミナドール・サントス」ノ提供
セル證言ノ謄本ノ眞實且正確ナル寫ナルコト及ビ
記録サレタ全證言ノ證據證類全部並ニ謄本及ビ證
據トシテ認メラレタ口供書ト共ニ目下本部ニ保存
サレテキルコトヲ證明スル。

（署名） T. R. C. 「キング」

（戰車破壞隊）陸軍歩兵少佐
T. R. C. 「キング」

證人 ジョン・R・ブリッチャード（署名）

日本東京ニテ 一九四六年十一月十五日

私ノ面前ニテ宣誓シタ。

即決裁判所

陸軍歩兵大尉

ジョン・R・ブリッチャード（署名）

13.